







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,458	04/26/2001	Steven J. Tallarida	STD 00.02	8003
7	590 12/23/2003	EXAMINER		
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY, GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street			THANH, LOAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tucson, AZ 85701			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
eri)		09/842	2.458	TALLARIDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examir	·	Art Unit	
		1	l. Thanh	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHOTHE I  - External after  - If the  - If NO  - Failu  - Any rearns  Status  1)   2a)   3)   Disposition  4)   —	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN risions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) file	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the after the mailing date of this ed on 26 September 2b) This action is for allowance exceptice under Ex parter application.	event, however, may a statutory minimum of this statutory minimum of this statutory minimum of this population to become Alexandra example and the statut of	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  timely filed, may reduce any  ters, prosecution as to the n	
6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	n requirement.		
	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 26 April 200 Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	1 is/are: a)⊠ acce ection to the drawing( g the correction is req	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* 5 13)⊠ A si 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.  1 The translation of the foreign late acknowledgment is made of a claim incerence was included in the first server.	documents have by documents have by documents have by of the priority documental Bureau (PCT For for a list of the cofor domestic priority and in the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority	peen received. peen received in A ments have beer Rule 17.2(a)). pertified copies not under 35 U.S.C. nce of the specific application has burner 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Solution of the provisional acation or in an Application Dolumen received.  §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The drawing objections have been withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks on page 7-8 of the amendment filed 09/26/03.

The specification objection has been withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks filed 09/26/03.

In view of applicant's amendment the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph has been withdrawn. However, this amendment constitutes new matter. Since the units were never disclosed before, the lack of units give rise to the unclarity of any units or what units. Further, Shore A and shore D durometer scales are more common and preferred methods but there is a range of overlap which occurs. The Shore A and shore D are preferred method for rubber/elastomer and shore A for softer and shore D for harder rubbers. But that is not necessarily exclusive. Also, there are other durometer scales (shore B, C, O and H) which overlap even if they are not commonly or widely used they are known. Applicant is directed to look at durometer conversion tables.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The insertion of "Shore A" scale is considered to be new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because "said ports" lacks proper antecedent basis in line 2 of the claim. It appears that applicant may have inadvertently overlooked "said ports" in line 2. Correction is requested to overcome this rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Wadsworth, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,399,168).

Art Unit: 3763

Wadsworth, Jr. et al. disclose a hemodialysis port having a housing defining a plurality of interconnected chambers, a septum 64 attached to the sidewall portions and a spring mechanism/ septum support 26. The spring mechanism of Wadsworth, Jr. et al. is disclosed for radial support and compression to the septum. See figs. 2,7, 8. The titanium insert (32) is seen in Figs. 5-6.) The function of the spring mechanism as claimed is capable of being performed by Wadsworth, Jr. et al.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Powers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,833,654) in view of Eliasen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,213,973).

Powers et al. teach all the limitations of the claims except for the durometer of the septum. Eliasen et al. teach an access port in the same field of endeavor. Eliasen et al. teach the septum having a material with a durometer of between 30 and 55. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the septum durometer as taught by Eliasen et al. in order to provide a septum which seals the target aperture and enables repeated selective access to the reservoir without compromising the septum.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wadsworth, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,399,168) in view of Powers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,833,654).

Wadsworth, Jr. et al. disclose the invention as substantially claimed. Wadsworth, Jr. et al. disclose some of the materials used for the device are titanium and stainless steel. However, Wadsworth, Jr. et al. does not disclose a titanium insert. Powers et al. disclose a titanium insert in the analogous art of implantable ports. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wadsworth, Jr. et al. with a titanium insert as taught by Powers et al. in order to prevent puncturing and damaging the device.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to alternating Fridays (7:00 am-4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858.

LoAn H.

Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT